

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPARIMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bect. 1450 Accandica, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/017,314	12/14/2001	Joseph M. Starita	3994648-129160	7218
23570 7:	590 11/20/2003		EXAMINER	
PORTER WRIGHT MORRIS & ARTHUR, LLP			TRAN. THAO T	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 41 SOUTH HIGH STREET 28TH FLOOR COLUMBUS, OH 43215			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711 DATE MAILED: 11/20/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

"	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/017,314	STARITA, JOSEPH M.
Autiony Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thao T. Tran	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aviginal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I: ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amon the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>24 October 2003</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an w or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 2 and 4-22.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b)□ disapproved by th	ne Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:		
		RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 110/017,314

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly proposed claims 27, 28, 29 introduce the limitation "virgin pellets, recycled, reprocessed, off specification, and wide sepcification grades of HDPE" that is considered a new issue and would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments are based on the newly proposed claims that are not entered. Therefore, the final rejection still stands.

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER